
APPENDIX

REFERENCES

SOURCE MATERIALS

City of Elk Grove

Annual Growth Report. 2005

Charter Exploratory and Election Reform Report. 2007

City Council Meeting Agendas, Staff Reports, Meeting Minutes, and Resolutions

Comprehensive Annual Report for the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Elk Grove Triangle Special Plan

Final Budget, FY 2007-2008

Franklin Crossing Concurrent Sphere of Influence Amendment and Annexation Materials

General Plan, Adopted 2003, with Amendments through 2007

General Plan Background Report

General Plan Environmental Impact Report

Laguna Ridge Annexation Materials

Laguna Ridge Specific Plan

Southeast Area Specific Plan Draft Land Use Plan

Website: www.e-tran.org

Website: www.egplanning.org

Website: www.egpublicworks.org

Website: www.egtrashrecycleservices.org

Website: www.elkgrovecity.org

Website: www.elkgrovepd.org

Sacramento Local Agency Formation Commission

City of Folsom Sphere of Influence Amendment Documents. 2001

Franklin Crossing Concurrent Sphere of Influence Amendment and Annexation Documents. 2008

LAFCo Meeting Agendas, Staff Reports, and Meeting Minutes

Sacramento LAFCo Policy, Standards, and Procedures Manual

Service Providers Directory and Maps

Website: www.saclafco.org

Assembly Committee of Local Government

Guide to the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000. December 2007

City of Sacramento

Draft Panhandle Municipal Service Review. February 2007

California Department of Conservation

Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program. Important Farmland Data. 2004

Sacramento County Williamson Act Lands. 2006

California Department of Finance

City/County Population Estimate

Cosumnes Community Services District

2006 Annual Report

Strategic Plan 2007-2012

Website: www.yourcsd.com

County of Sacramento

County General Plan

Jackson Highway Visioning Area Workshop Materials

Vineyard Community Plan

South Sacramento Community Plan

Website: www.saccounty.net

Website: <http://www.acr.saccounty.net>

Website: <http://www.finance.saccounty.net>

Website: <http://www.msa.saccounty.net>

Website: <http://www.sacdot.com/>

Website: <http://www.sacgreenteam.com/>

Website: <http://www.sacsheriff.com>

Elk Grove Water Service

Urban Water Management Plan, Final Draft. 2005

Website: www.egws.org

Governor's Office of Research and Planning

Municipal Service Review Guidelines, August 2003

Sacramento Area Council of Governments

2006 Metropolitan Transportation Plan, Adopted March 16, 2006

2006 Metropolitan Transportation Plan Environmental Impact Report

SACOG Metropolitan Transportation Plan 2035 DRAFT Land Use Allocations, Updated: 04/30/07

Website: www.sacog.org

Sacramento Area Sewer District

CSD-1 Sewerage Facilities Expansion Master Plan. 2006

Website: www.csd-1.com

Sacramento County Water Agency

Water Quality Report. 2006

Zone 40 Groundwater Management Plan. 2004

Zone 40 Water Supply Master Plan. 2005

Zone 40 Water System Infrastructure Plan. 2006

Zone 41 Urban Water Management Plan. 2005

Website: www.msa.sacounty.net/scwa/

Sacramento Municipal Utility District

Application for Annexation of the Cities of West Sacramento, Davis, and Woodland, and Unincorporated Areas of Yolo County and Related Sphere of Influence Amendment, July 2005

Website: www.smud.org

Sacramento Public Library Authority

Facility Master Plan 2007-2025

Website: www.saclibrary.org

Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District

SRWTP 2020 Master Plan

Website: www.srcsd.com

Southeast Sacramento County Agricultural Water Authority

Website: www.sscawa.org/sscawa/omo_dist.cfm

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Website: www.fws.gov/stonelakes

STAFF CONSULTED

Cosumnes Community Services District

Fred Bremerman, Management Analyst

Tracey Hansen, Fire Chief

George Apple, Fire Marshal

Maureen Zamarripa, Deputy General Manager

County Service Area 4B (Wilton Cosumnes)

Jill Ritzman, Parks Director

Elk Grove Water Service

John Ornellas, Operations Manager

Scott Myers, Engineer

Pacific Gas and Electricity

Demetrius Williams, PG&E

Sacramento Area Council of Governments

Mike McKeever, Executive Director

Sacramento Area Sewer District

Salam Khan, Department of Water Quality

Sarena Deeble, Policy and Planning

Michael Meyer, SRCSD/SASD Policy and Planning

Sacramento County Water Agency

Bob Gardner, SCWA

Darrell Eck, Water Supply Planning & Development

Sacramento Municipal Utility District

Katherine Knourek, SMUD

Sacramento Public Library Authority

Alison Landers, Deputy Director

Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District

Sarena Deeble, Policy and Planning

Michael Meyer, SRCSD/SASD Policy and Planning

Wendy Haggard, Department of Water Quality

Sacramento-San Joaquin Drainage District (State Reclamation Board)

Jay Punia, General Manager

Steve Dawson, SRB

Wilton Fire Protection District

Tom Dark, Fire Chief



ATTACHMENT A

SACOG COMMENT LETTERS

ATTACHMENT A

Sacramento Area
Council of
Governments

1415 L Street,
Suite 300
Sacramento, CA
95814

tel: 916.321.9000
fax: 916.321.9551
tdd: 916.321.9550
www.sacog.org



RECEIVED

MAY 23 2011

SACRAMENTO LOCAL AGENCY
FORMATION COMMISSION

May 18, 2011

Donald J. Lockhart, AICP
Assistant Executive Officer
Sacramento Local Agency Formation Commission
1112 I Street, Suite 100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Lockhart,

Thank you for inviting SACOG's comments on the Elk Grove Municipal Service Review for the Elk Grove Sphere of Influence Amendment Request. The revisions to "Area Growth and Population" chapter, dated April 27, 2011, include information on SACOG's current housing and employment projections and suggest those projections as the basis for the city needing additional development land outside the current city limits. We do not find these statements to be accurate and would like to correct the following points.

- Page 3.0-2 states, "The City utilized the MTP 2035 growth projection numbers in calculating future needed acreage for the initial Sphere of Influence Amendment application submitted in May 2008 and subsequent application update submitted in August 2010." SACOG submitted comments to LAFCO and the City explaining the misstatement of our projections for this purpose. Please reference the attached letter to the City dated January 23, 2008 and the letter to LAFCO dated August 13, 2008.
- Page 3.0-3 provides a table showing a "SACOG 2035 MTP Projection" for population. We did not provide this information and we have not released population projections for individual jurisdictions at this point in our MTP update process.
- Page 3.0-4 states, "The City has based future land demands on SACOG's MTP 2035 Preliminary Draft Preferred Scenario projections to estimate the amount of vacant lands necessary to accommodate the projected growth" and references "Table 3.0-45 Land Demand Projections for the MTP 2035 Preliminary Draft Preferred Scenario". The same paragraph then continues, "Based on the availability of 2,918 acres within the City, a total of 6,327 acres will be needed outside of the City limits to accommodate the projected growth to 2035". Again, we did not provide the acreage numbers shown in that table nor do we believe that additional acreage outside of the city is needed to meet our draft 2035 projections. For your reference, the projections we are using in our MTP 2035 Preliminary Draft Preferred Scenario are below. These projections were coordinated with city staff to ensure consistency with the city's latest capacity estimates for development *within* the current city limits. The projections do not assume development outside of the current city limits. The research supporting our current projections for the region indicate the region will grow less and at a slower pace than previously forecasted. This trend affects all parts of the region, including the city of Elk Grove.

Auburn
 Citrus Heights
 Colfax
 Davis
 El Dorado County
 Elk Grove
 Folsom
 Galt
 Isleton
 Lincoln
 Live Oak
 Loomis
 Marysville
 Placer County
 Placerville
 Rancho Cordova
 Rocklin
 Roseville
 Sacramento
 Sacramento County
 Sutter County
 West Sacramento
 Wheatland
 Winters
 Woodland
 Yolo County
 Yuba City
 Yuba County

Donald J. Lockhart, AICP
Page 2
May 18, 2011

SACOG Projections for Preliminary Draft Preferred Scenario for the 2035 MTP Update

	2008 Employees	2008 Housing Units	2035 Employees	2035 Housing Units
City of Elk Grove (current City limits)	28,431	49,018	48,429	66,014

As we indicated in prior communications, we believe our estimates of development capacity inside current city boundaries are likely to be low because they do not account for any redevelopment activity. Over the next two to three decades, some level of redevelopment seems highly likely. In fact, the City is working hard to encourage this as a revitalization strategy.

To summarize, neither the currently adopted MTP projections nor the draft Preliminary Preferred Scenario projections being used in the MTP update assume growth in the proposed SOI area. To be clear, the purpose of the MTP is to forecast actual constructed development during the planning period. This is different from a land supply contingency needed to support a healthy land market. We agree that Elk Grove may need additional land outside of the current city limits at some point beyond 2035 to support additional job growth to help the City's current imbalance of jobs and housing. We do not see a need for land in the SOI for housing for a very long time. The key issues around such an expansion would involve the timing of urbanization and conditions for development.

Thank you for consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,



Mike McKeever
Chief Executive Officer

MM:KL:ef

Attachment



February 28, 2011

Donald J. Lockhart, AICP
Assistant Executive Officer
Sacramento Local Agency Formation Commission
1112 I Street, Suite 100
Sacramento, CA 95814

RECEIVED

MAR 02 2011

SACRAMENTO LOCAL AGENCY
FORMATION COMMISSION

Dear Mr. Lockhart,

Thank you for inviting SACOG's comments on the Elk Grove Municipal Service Review for the Elk Grove Sphere of Influence Amendment Project (LAF 09-10) and the support Elk Grove Market Study. We also thank the City of Elk Grove for commissioning the market study to determine the long term land use needs of the City. SACOG supports the City's aim to improve job opportunities in its jurisdiction and this market study is an important step toward that end. We also appreciate that the City asked its market study consultant team to meet with us to discuss and compare employment projections. We've since reviewed the final market study and find it to be a technically solid study of employment demand.

Our understanding of the market study is that it projected two potential growth scenarios – a low growth, high density scenario and a high growth, low density scenario – for the City of Elk Grove through the year 2029. The scenarios are intended to bracket the range of possible employment and housing growth that the City would need to plan for during that time period, including the amount of land that would need to be available to support that growth. The study states that these scenarios are intended to provide varying estimates of growth potential, but “are not meant to incorporate judgments about the likelihood of projections results or embedded assumptions” (Page A-14, Elk Grove Market Study). We have compared the market study to our preliminary MTP projections and generally agree that it is possible the city could need more employment land beyond the city limits sometime after the next 20 years and that the amount of land likely to be needed is within the amount identified by the Elk Grove Market Study. We do have a few observations as to the reason for some of this additional land supply:

- The market study discounts 80% of vacant unentitled land as unlikely to develop fully.
- The market study assumes very low residential densities for the high land consumption scenario (Scenario 2), at approximately 6.5 dwelling units per acre on average city-wide, which contributes substantially to the scenario's higher land demand.

Auburn
Citrus Heights
Colfax
Davis
El Dorado County
Elk Grove
Folsom
Galt
Isleton
Lincoln
Live Oak
Loomis
Marysville
Placer County
Placerville
Rancho Cordova
Rocklin
Roseville
Sacramento
Sacramento County
Sutter County
West Sacramento
Wheatland
Winters
Woodland
Yolo County
Yuba City
Yuba County

Donald J. Lockhart, AICP

Page 2


February 28, 2011

- The market study assumes no redevelopment potential in its land supply analysis.
- The market study land supply analysis assumes no re-designation of vacant land, either from residential to employment or between employment designations. With no re-designation of land use assumed, the market study indicates that the employment acres in shortest supply are for institutional and school uses, which are public, not private uses.
- The market study arrives at land demand by adding an additional 20% of land capacity as a land supply contingency. Of the additional land needed in Scenario 1 (low growth, high intensity development), 100 percent of the 200 acre additional land supply is part of this contingency. For Scenario 2 (high growth, low intensity development), about 53% of the additional 1,422 acre additional land supply is part of this contingency. It is worth noting that this aspect of the land demand projections is different from SACOG's land use forecasts, which must represent actual anticipated development.

Lastly, as you know, SACOG is in the midst of the Rural-Urban Connection Strategy (RUCS) project, which focuses on economic and environmental sustainability in the region's rural areas. The project has not yet resulted in policy or program recommendations but we have been conducting groundbreaking technical research on the urban-rural interface and in particular, the effects of urban uses on neighboring agricultural use. Our preliminary research and modeling indicates that the more certainty there is to the edge of future urbanization, the lesser the impact will be on neighboring farming operations. A higher percentage of land is more likely to remain in active agriculture if there is some long-term certainty that farming is an economically viable use of the land.

Thank you again for inviting SACOG's comment in this process. We would be happy to discuss any of this information in more detail with the City and LAFCO if it would be of use.

Sincerely,



Mike McKeever
Chief Executive Officer

MM:KL:ef

Sacramento Area
Council of
Governments

1415 L Street,
Suite 300
Sacramento, CA
95814

tel: 916.321.9000
fax: 916.321.9551
tdd: 916.321.9550
www.sacog.org



August 13, 2008

Peter Brundage, Executive Officer
Sacramento Local Agency Formation Commission
1112 "P" Street, Suite 100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Brundage:

I am writing to comment on the City of Elk Grove Sphere of Influence
Amendment Request (LAFCO 04-08). The application's "Municipal Service
Review" document refers to SACOG projections, stating that:

*"The City based future land demands on SACOG's projections to estimate
the amount of vacant lands necessary to accommodate the projected
growth." (p. 3.0-3)*

*"SACOG anticipates that existing neighborhoods and subdivisions within
the City are expected to build out in the vacant areas within SACOG's (2035
Metropolitan Transportation Plan) planning period." (p. 3.0-2)*

I don't believe that these statements accurately convey SACOG's adopted
growth projections for Elk Grove. Our recently adopted 2035 Metropolitan
Transportation Plan land use allocation shows all of the projected housing and
employment growth for Elk Grove through 2035 occurring within the existing
city limits, with an additional 1,700 acres of vacant land in 2035 that the City's
General Plan currently designates for development. Additionally, within the
city limits the 2050 Blueprint growth pattern projects another 19,000 employees
and 1,500 housing units from 2035 to 2050. These figures were carefully
coordinated with Elk Grove staff when the final Blueprint map was created
because the City Council had asked SACOG to ensure complete consistency
between the Blueprint map and the City's recently adopted General Plan. We
had communicated our concerns about these issues to the City in the attached
letter dated January 23, 2008.

Recently, SACOG met with Elk Grove senior management staff and consultants
to discuss these issues and agreed that our staff would meet in the near future to
compare information and hopefully resolve, within the next few weeks, the
discrepancies noted above. We will keep you posted on the progress of those
discussions.

burn
tus Heights
fox
vis
Dorado County
Grova
som
l
stan
coln
Oak
mis
ysville
er County
erville
yha Cordova
kln
eville
amentn
samento County
er County
t Sacramento
alland
ters
dland
County
City
County

Peter Brundage, Executive Officer

Page 2

August 13, 2008


I have indicated to City staff that as they move forward to establish a Sphere of Influence, we encourage them to consider:

- the timing of urbanization in the Sphere of Influence and its possible impact on the build-out of the General Plan within the city's current municipal boundaries; and,
- focusing on jobs-housing balance and prioritizing future development that promote employment growth and development of a strong economic base for the City.

These issues matter to SACOG because of their strong connection to travel behavior and air emissions. I believe City leadership shares these concerns and we look forward to a good partnership working with them on these issues as this process moves forward.

Thank you for your consideration on this matter. Please let me know if you have questions.

Sincerely,



Mike McKeever
Executive Director

MM:JH:ef

cc: Laura Gill, Elk Grove

Sacramento Area
Council of
Governments

1415 I Street
Suite 300
Sacramento, CA
95814

tel: 916.321.9600
fax: 916.321.9551
toll: 916.321.9550
www.sacog.org



January 23, 2008

Jim Estep, City Manager
8401 Laguna Palms Way
Elk Grove, CA 95758

Dear Mr. Estep:

Thank you for discussing the Sphere of Influence Amendment staff report with me last evening and the clarifications I believe should be made. As we discussed, the January 23, 2008 City Council Meeting staff report includes a discussion of the Sacramento Area Council of Government's (SACOG's) regional growth projections that does not accurately convey information in SACOG's adopted growth forecast and land use allocation for Elk Grove in the Metropolitan Transportation Plan.

I am writing to formally ask that this information be clarified in time for the City Council consideration of this topic at its Wednesday, January 23 meeting based upon our discussion. While you clarified for me that the City's time horizon for the development of the proposed Sphere of Influence is longer than SACOG 2035 MTP projections, and is not simply based on SACOG's 2035 growth forecast, it is important to note that in some places the staff report indicates that the land supply is not adequate for even a 20-year horizon. I very much appreciate your willingness to clarify page two of the staff report which currently states that "The Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG) is projecting that the City will experience a 98% growth in employees and 72% growth in dwelling units over the next 20 years (2035 SACOG Land Use allocation). Based on these projections, existing land uses and intensities within the current City limits would result in a land shortage of approximately 19,500 acres over the next 20 years."

As we discussed, I understand that the City did not mean to indicate that there was a demand for a total of 19,500 acres outside of its current City boundaries using SACOG's projections. The SACOG growth forecast and land use allocation for the MTP are consistent with the Elk Grove General plan and show adequate capacity for SACOG's projected employment and housing growth in Elk Grove through 2035 within the current city boundaries.

I respectfully request that the statement in the staff report be clarified at the City Council meeting to ensure the City Council and the public are aware of these differences in time horizons.

Again, thank you for agreeing to clarify this item and SACOG looks forward to continuing to work collaboratively with you and the City of Elk Grove.

Sincerely,

Mike McKeever
Executive Director

MM:ef
S:\SECURED\Mike\Estep\112108.doc

Antelope
Creston Heights
Colusa
Davis
El Dorado County
Elk Grove
Eureka
Galt
Hamilton
Lincoln
Live Oak
Loomis
Marysville
Placer County
Placerville
Rancho Cordova
Rocklin
Roseville
Sacramento
Sutter County
West Sacramento
Woodland
Winters
Yuba County
Yuba City
Yuba County

