

**Lockhart. Don**

---

**From:** Michael Ho [aaronkwik@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 13, 2007 8:16 AM  
**To:** Lockhart. Don  
**Subject:** Growth in the Natomas Floodplain

Hello,

I'm writing this because I think LAFCo and the City should not consider expanding the city limits further in Natomas until the levees are recertified in Natomas. LAFCo's job is to protect prime agricultural land from premature development; Greenbriar is a perfect example of the kind of annexation LAFCo should deny. The EIR says significant and unmitigated impacts on agricultural land will result. The City should not ignore the numerous community organizations asking that this project not go forward now, including the Natomas Community Association, Sacramento County Taxpayers<sup>1</sup> League, Environmental Council of Sacramento and Grand Jury. LAFCo and the City should address municipal services issues raised by the Natomas community and in the Sacramento Grand Jury Report before considering further expansion of the Natomas Community.

Before acting, LAFCo and the City must receive definitive direction from US Fish and Wildlife and California Fish and Game on what mitigation will be required to offset the negative impacts of this development on the Natomas Habitat Conservation Plan and on the species covered by it. No decisionmaker that has received campaign contributions from AKT and its principals should vote on this land use project. Nor should the City representative vote on the LAFCo findings since the City is the proponent and he is representing the City on LAFCo. Greenbriar should be included in the City<sup>1</sup>'s General Plan and Joint Vision planning process and fully planned for all its impacts and does not deserve to have a special treatment status outside the state mandated planning process.

Thank you for your time,  
Michael Ho

---

Make your little one a shining star! Shine on!

9/13/2007