

## SACRAMENTO COUNTY FARM BUREAU

8970 Elk Grove Boulevard • Elk Grove, California 95624-1946  
(916) 685-6958 • Fax (916) 685-7125

March 19, 2012

Gay Jones, Chair  
Sacramento Local Agency Formation Commission  
1112 I Street, Suite 100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

**RE: Elk Grove Sphere of Influence Application**

Dear Chair Jones and Members of the Commission,

The Sacramento County Farm Bureau is a non-governmental, non-profit, grassroots organization. Our purpose is to protect and promote agricultural interests throughout Sacramento County and to find solutions to the problems of the farm, the farm home, and rural communities. Farm Bureau strives to protect and improve the ability of farmers and ranchers engaged in production agriculture to provide a reliable supply of food and fiber through responsible stewardship of California's resources.

As you are aware, farmers and ranchers have testified before you in both supporting and opposing the proposed sphere of influence application by the City of Elk Grove. Some welcome the change and wish to be a part of future development, while others desire to remain under the County jurisdiction. We respect all positions and emphasize that we champion private property rights and individual decisions farmers and ranchers make regarding their land. We also strongly agree that the current Elk Grove expansion has several major flaws that go beyond the protection of private property rights and ignore good land use planning.

Farm Bureau supports local planning to accommodate orderly, logical contiguous patterns of urban development. A sphere of influence process is an appropriate tool to plan for future needs of local jurisdictions. However, Farm Bureau *cannot* support urban development of agricultural land when the need for expansion is not substantiated by credible and current projections for future urban growth.

Sacramento County Farm Bureau opposes the current SOI expansion of the City of Elk Grove to include over 7,000 acres of agriculture land. Any expansion should not exceed the number of acres projected by market studies that project future acreage needed for the City of Elk Grove to grow in an orderly and logical manner. The application fails to adequately substantiate the need for additional acreage to accommodate future development over the next 20 years. The Elk Grove Market Study released in December 2010 indicates the land supply and demand analysis supports the need for an additional 200 to 1,400 acres of land to accommodate projected 2029

*To Represent and Promote Agriculture in Sacramento County*

levels of demand. *(Page iii, Elk Grove Market Study, 12/29/2010.)* A draft municipal service review estimates the vacant land available is approximately 3,314 acres. *(City of Elk Grove's Draft Municipal Service Review, Sphere of Influence Amendment Area, August 18, 2010.)* There appears to be little evidence to support the need for an additional 8,000 acres of land to accomplish orderly urban development.

Farm Bureau has submitted comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) and we wish to take this opportunity to not only to express our opposition on the pending application but also, to reiterate the following concerns:

1. The SOI boundary extends past Sacramento County's Urban Service Boundary (USB). The USB establishes the ultimate boundary of the urban area of Sacramento County. It is intended to allow for the protection of agriculture and rangelands, critical habitat and natural resources, and directs growth within the County's Urban Policy Area (UPA). Logical, orderly planning must respect ultimate boundaries. We encourage LAFCo to examine the regional effects the SOI may have on urban planning in the County.
2. The SOI boundary east of Highway 99 and south of Grant Line Road follows an indefinable line--the floodplain. The floodplain line is not clearly marked either on the land or on a map. This arbitrary boundary would also divide parcels. This situation is extremely problematic for a number of reasons. With some landowners' farm operations (shop, barns, equipment sheds) divided between two jurisdictions, the current confusion of who provides services, such as law enforcement, would be accentuated. Divided parcels could also limit farmers' and ranchers' long-term interest in investing in their agricultural operations by potentially having to seek permits from both the County and City.
3. The SOI includes active Williamson Act contracts. It was recognized that California was losing, at a fast rate, some of the most productive farmland and diverse natural resources in the world. As a result, The California Land Conservation Act of 1965, commonly known as the Williamson Act, was enacted. This voluntary program is a contract between private landowners and the County to restrict development activities on agricultural land in return for a lower assessed property tax. LAFCo should not ignore the 2,474 acres of farmland enrolled in the Williamson Act and the commitment landowners and the County have made to the protection of these resources.
4. Farmland is irreplaceable. The SOI area currently produces \$14 million of agricultural commodities. This value does not represent further processing, transportation, marketing, jobs, tax revenues, research or development. The City's General Plan clearly disregards the loss of agricultural land as a consequence of development. In addition, Elk Grove's General Plan does not provide buffers between urban areas and agricultural operations and provides that conflicts arising between urban and agricultural uses will be addressed only through the City's "Right to Farm" ordinance. Two businesses that operate on the south side of Eschinger Road represent both national and international companies with combined

local sales of over \$20 million and employ 69 employees. Their operations would be negatively affected by urban development. The City of Elk Grove's General Plan is short-sighted and disregards the economic contributions these lands provide to the economy.

If LAFCo does not encourage efficient development regionally and require cities to make efficient use of lands already within their jurisdiction before expanding further into agricultural areas it will be impossible to protect the viability of agriculture and our incredibly productive and important family farms and ranches. Every jurisdiction, including the City of Elk Grove, must carefully consider any request to expand, annex or make land use changes. Changes to agricultural land have long-term adverse effects on wildlife, habitat, environmental sustainability, economic growth and compromise the goals and regional efforts by the County of Sacramento, Sacramento Area Council of Governments, Next Economy, and Valley Vision just to name a few groups which are working towards long-term sustainable growth. They value agriculture not only as an economic engine but also as a protector of the environment and open space.

Thank you for the opportunity to share our concerns.

Sincerely,



Kevin Steward  
President



Charlotte Mitchell  
Executive Director

cc. LAFCo Commissioners  
LAFCo Alternate Commissioners  
Peter Brundage, Executive Officer  
Don Lockhard, Assistant Executive Officer  
Don Nottoli, 5<sup>th</sup> District County Board of Supervisor  
Mayor James Cooper, City of Elk Grove  
Taro Echiburu, City of Elk Grove



717 K Street, Suite 529  
Sacramento, Ca. 95814  
916-447-4956  
[www.swainsonshawk.org](http://www.swainsonshawk.org)



909 12<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 200  
Sacramento, Ca. 95814  
916 444 0220  
[www.Habitat2020.org](http://www.Habitat2020.org)

RECEIVED

MAR 21 2012

SACRAMENTO LOCAL AGENCY  
FORMATION COMMISSION

March 21, 2012

LAFCo Chair Gay Jones, and Commissioners  
LAFCo Staff  
1112 I Street, 100  
Sacramento, Ca 95814

Re: Elk Grove SOI

Dear Madam Chair, Commissioners and Staff:

We have become aware of a couple of issues in the SOI consideration that we would like to clarify before your next meeting.

### **Available Land for Development in the City of Elk Grove Issue**

In previous testimony and written comment, our organizations have stated that we do not believe that the Elk Grove application for an 8,000 acre SOI is justified given the amount of vacant, undeveloped land in the existing City limits. We want to make clear that in making that assessment, we did not include vacant, undeveloped land inside the rural residential community of Sheldon as part of what could be developed. It is important to note also that the Elk Grove Market Study assumes no further development of the Sheldon rural residential area, but finds that demand for land for future development is nowhere near 8,000 acres, even in its most optimistic scenario.

### **Right to Farm Issue**

A speaker at your March 7 meeting spoke to difficulties in farming in the proposed SOI area as a reason for approving the SOI. We do not agree. He did not mention that both Sacramento County and City of Elk Grove have adopted ordinances protecting the right to farm. Sacramento County has adopted a right-to-farm ordinance to provide legal assurance that established agricultural operations are allowed to continue. City of Elk Grove (Municipal Code Chapter 4.5) adopted such protections also for farmers in 2000.

We look forward to further dialogue about the Elk Grove SOI proposal.


Sincerely,



Judith Lamare, Ph.D., President,  
Friends of the Swainson's Hawk



Rob Burness, Co-Chair  
Habitat 2020



Sean Wirth, Co-Chair  
Habitat 2020

**Thorpe, Diane**

---

**From:** Fong, Kirsti [Kirsti.Fong@fire.ca.gov]

**Sent:** Thursday, March 29, 2012 4:11 PM

**To:** Thorpe, Diane

**Cc:** Kevin Morse (kevin788@att.net); starkl9@aol.com

**Subject:** Not in favor of EG Sphere of Influence; to include Eschinger Rd.

As a partial (34%) owner of property located on Eschinger Road, I am **not** in favor of the Elk Grove, Sphere of Influence proposal to include Eschinger Road, Sacramento County.

Currently, the agricultural property is being farmed to support a local dairy, producing milk for California.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Kirsti Fong  
916-947-3664

3/30/2012

**Brundage. Peter**

---

**From:** webmaster@saclafco.org  
**Sent:** Friday, March 30, 2012 1:18 PM  
**To:** Commission. Clerk  
**Subject:** Contact Sacramento LAFCo Commission Members

**Contact Sacramento LAFCo Commission Members**

This form was submitted on: 03-30-2012 1:17:39 PM

**Name:**  
Elizabeth Rocke

**Email Address:**  
erocke@mindspring.com

**Subject:**  
Elk Grove growth plan

**Message:**

Please reject the Elk Grove's "sphere of influence proposal. It is an expansio plan that doesn not jibe with reality. After having attended some council meetings an reading the Bee article on it, I would not agree more. Elk Grove has the land. It had land inside of it bounderies throughtout 2029. It has, from the Bee article and from what others have said, 800 acres when it can only need 500 acres. It has 2100 acres for housing and under the current foreclosure and housing market that is enough

---

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO EMAIL DISCLAIMER:

This email and any attachments thereto may contain private, confidential, and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, copying, or distribution of this email (or any attachments thereto) by other than the County of Sacramento or the intended recipient is strictly prohibited.

If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender immediately and permanently delete the original and any copies of this email and any attachments thereto.

---

**Brundage. Peter**

---

**From:** webmaster@saclafco.org  
**Sent:** Friday, March 30, 2012 12:10 PM  
**To:** Commission. Clerk  
**Subject:** Contact Sacramento LAFCo Commission Members

**Contact Sacramento LAFCo Commission Members**

This form was submitted on: 03-30-2012 12:10:02 PM

**Name:**  
Jacqueline DeLu

**Email Address:**  
coveydelu@jps.ent

**Subject:**  
Elk Grove SOI

**Message:**

Elk Groves application to expand its SOI has shown a disregard to Sacramento's regional planning BluePrint. We have enough sprawl already (especially Elk Grove). Elk Grove has enough room to grow for the next 30 years within its boundaries already. Please protect what animal habitat and farmland we have left. These land uses are necessary for a sustainable future.

---

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO EMAIL DISCLAIMER:

This email and any attachments thereto may contain private, confidential, and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, copying, or distribution of this email (or any attachments thereto) by other than the County of Sacramento or the intended recipient is strictly prohibited.

If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender immediately and permanently delete the original and any copies of this email and any attachments thereto.

---



**Brundage. Peter**

---

**From:** webmaster@saclafco.org  
**Sent:** Friday, March 30, 2012 11:42 AM  
**To:** Commission. Clerk  
**Subject:** Contact Sacramento LAFCo Commission Members

**Contact Sacramento LAFCo Commission Members**

This form was submitted on: 03-30-2012 11:42:00 AM

**Name:**  
Gene Thomas

**Email Address:**  
gene@grayfox.com

**Subject:**  
elk grove expansion

**Message:**

I write as a citizen of California, and a lover of the bird habitats threatened by the expansion of Elk Grove. Please do everything you can to restrict the planned expansion. These habitats are not just California treasures, but they are held in trust for the whole planet. I have seen the Sand Hill Cranes summering in the Arctic, and it was thrilling to realize that they come all the way to the Sacramento Valley to winter. Please don't let that be jeopardized. Thank you.

---

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO EMAIL DISCLAIMER:

This email and any attachments thereto may contain private, confidential, and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, copying, or distribution of this email (or any attachments thereto) by other than the County of Sacramento or the intended recipient is strictly prohibited.

If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender immediately and permanently delete the original and any copies of this email and any attachments thereto.

---

**Brundage. Peter**

---

**From:** webmaster@saclafco.org  
**Sent:** Friday, March 30, 2012 11:39 AM  
**To:** Commission. Clerk  
**Subject:** Contact Sacramento LAFCo Commission Members

**Contact Sacramento LAFCo Commission Members**

This form was submitted on: 03-30-2012 11:38:52 AM

**Name:**  
Gene Thomas

**Email Address:**  
gene

**Subject:**

**Message:**

---

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO EMAIL DISCLAIMER:

This email and any attachments thereto may contain private, confidential, and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, copying, or distribution of this email (or any attachments thereto) by other than the County of Sacramento or the intended recipient is strictly prohibited.

If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender immediately and permanently delete the original and any copies of this email and any attachments thereto.

---

**Brundage. Peter**

---

**From:** webmaster@saclafco.org  
**Sent:** Friday, March 30, 2012 11:00 AM  
**To:** Commission. Clerk  
**Subject:** Contact Sacramento LAFCo Commission Members

**Contact Sacramento LAFCo Commission Members**

This form was submitted on: 03-30-2012 10:59:34 AM

**Name:**  
Shirley Craine

**Email Address:**  
scraine@sbcglobal.net

**Subject:**  
E.K. expansion

**Message:**

I agree totally with the article written in the Sacramento Bee. You certainly have more that your share of foreclosed homes in Elk Grove... Deal with that. Leave that beautiful open land alone and keep your city boundary where it is ! Expansion towards the Cosumnes River in unconscionable .

---

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO EMAIL DISCLAIMER:

This email and any attachments thereto may contain private, confidential, and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, copying, or distribution of this email (or any attachments thereto) by other than the County of Sacramento or the intended recipient is strictly prohibited.

If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender immediately and permanently delete the original and any copies of this email and any attachments thereto.

---

**Brundage. Peter**

---

**From:** webmaster@saclafco.org  
**Sent:** Friday, March 30, 2012 10:53 AM  
**To:** Commission. Clerk  
**Subject:** Contact Sacramento LAFCo Commission Members

**Contact Sacramento LAFCo Commission Members**

This form was submitted on: 03-30-2012 10:53:25 AM

**Name:**  
Christine K. Adams

**Email Address:**  
ckraptors@yahoo.com

**Subject:**  
Elk Grove expansion

**Message:**  
I agree with the Sacramento Bee editorial March 26th 2012. Please reject the Elk Grove expansion considering the impact it can have on the Swainson's Hawks, Sandhill Cranes, other raptors and wildlife. We need to keep the open space!!!

---

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO EMAIL DISCLAIMER:

This email and any attachments thereto may contain private, confidential, and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, copying, or distribution of this email (or any attachments thereto) by other than the County of Sacramento or the intended recipient is strictly prohibited.

If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender immediately and permanently delete the original and any copies of this email and any attachments thereto.

---

**Brundage. Peter**

---

**From:** webmaster@saclafco.org  
**Sent:** Friday, March 30, 2012 9:26 AM  
**To:** Commission. Clerk  
**Subject:** Contact Sacramento LAFCo Commission Members

**Contact Sacramento LAFCo Commission Members**

This form was submitted on: 03-30-2012 9:25:46 AM

**Name:**  
Catherine Portman

**Email Address:**  
cportman@gmail.com

**Subject:**  
Elk Grove's over reach

**Message:**  
Please do not approve Elk Grove's expansion plan. It's unnecessary and would destroy wildlife habitat. Burrowing Owl Preservation Society C Portman 14841 CR 91 B Woodland, CA 95695

---

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO EMAIL DISCLAIMER:  
This email and any attachments thereto may contain private, confidential, and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, copying, or distribution of this email (or any attachments thereto) by other than the County of Sacramento or the intended recipient is strictly prohibited.

If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender immediately and permanently delete the original and any copies of this email and any attachments thereto.

---

**Brundage. Peter**

---

**From:** webmaster@saclafco.org  
**Sent:** Friday, March 30, 2012 9:00 AM  
**To:** Commission. Clerk  
**Subject:** Contact Sacramento LAFCo Commission Members

**Contact Sacramento LAFCo Commission Members**

This form was submitted on: 03-30-2012 8:59:40 AM

**Name:**  
Brian Olson

**Email Address:**  
brian686@comcast.net

**Subject:**  
Elk Grove expansion

**Message:**  
For the record I am opposed to the expansion and agree with the Bee's editorial. I live off Mecca Rd in Elk Grove, CA

---

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO EMAIL DISCLAIMER:  
This email and any attachments thereto may contain private, confidential, and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, copying, or distribution of this email (or any attachments thereto) by other than the County of Sacramento or the intended recipient is strictly prohibited.

If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender immediately and permanently delete the original and any copies of this email and any attachments thereto.

---